OMB Approval Number: 2050-0095 Approved for Use Through: 1/92



Site Name: BENDIX-TETERBORO FACILITY

CERCLIS ID No.: NJD078714433

Street Address: ROUTE 46

City/State/Zip: TETERBORO, NJ 07608

Investigator: DAVID E. TRIGGS
Agency/Organization: NJDEPE/RPSR/BSA
Street Address: 300 HORIZON CENTER
City/State: ROBBINSVILLE, NJ

Date: 03/19/92

148549



Page: 1

OMB Approval Number: 2050-0095 Approved for Use Through: 1/92

			1100	veu ioi			· 1/9
POTENTIAL HAZ	ADDOUG			ID	ENTIF	ICATIO	N
WASTE SITE	ARDOUS			State: NJ		CLIS N	
PRELIMINARY A	SSESSMENT FORM			CERCLIS	Disc 12/28		Date:
1. General Site Info	rmation		. ,				
Name: BENDIX-TETERBORO FA	CILITY	Street ROUTI		ess:	,		
City: TETERBORO	State NJ	Zip Co 07608	ode:	County BERGEN		Co. Code:	Cong. Dist:
Latitude: Longitude: Approx. Area of Site: Status of Site: 40° 51' 44.0" 74° 3' 49.0" 71 acres Active							
2. Owner/Operator In	formation	. \		,.		. ,	
Owner: ALLIED BENDIX AEROS	Owner: Operator: ALLIED BENDIX AEROSPACE ALLIED BENDIX AEROSPACE						
Street Address: ROUTE 46 Street Address: ROUTE 46							
City: TETERBORO	,	City: TETER	BORO	1			
State: Zip Code: NJ 07608	Telephone: 201-288-2000	State: NJ	Zip 0760	Code:		phone: -288-2	
Type of Ownership: Private				y Identi Program			

Page: 2

DOMENIMENT HARADDO		•		ID	ENTIFICAT	ION
POTENTIAL HAZARDO WASTE SITE				State: NJ	CERCLIS NJD078	Number: 714433
PRELIMINAR Y ASSES	SMENT FORM			1	Discover	y Date:
3. Site Evaluator Inform	ation	٠,				
Name of Evaluator: DAVID E. TRIGGS		cy/Org	anization SR/BSA	· .	Date Pro 03/19	
Street Address: 300 HORIZON CENTER			ty: OBBINSVIL	LE		State:
Name of EPA or State Age KENNETH J. KLOO	ncy Contact		lephone: 09-584-428	30		
Street Address: 300 HORIZON CENTER			ty: OBBINSVIL	LE ·		State:
4. Site Disposition (for	EPA use on	ly)	-			
Response/Removal Re Assessment Ot Recommendation: No U	RCLIS commendatio her NDER ECRA ate: 03/19/		Signatur Name: DAVID I Position HSMS	E. TRIGGS		

Other: MANUFACTURE OF ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT V 6. Waste Characteristics Information	State: CERCLIS Number: NJ NJD078714433 CERCLIS Discovery Date: 12/28/87 Years of Operation: Beginning Year: 1937 Ending Year: 1992 Waste Generated: Onsite Waste Deposition Authorized By: Present Owner
5. General Site Characteristics Predominant Land Uses Within 1 Mile of Site: Industrial Urban Type of Site Operations: Other: MANUFACTURE OF ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT W 6. Waste Characteristics Information	Years of Operation: Beginning Year: 1937 Ending Year: 1992 Waste Generated: Onsite Waste Deposition Authorized
Predominant Land Uses Within 1 Mile of Site: Industrial Type of Site Operations: Other: MANUFACTURE OF ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT 6. Waste Characteristics Information	Beginning Year: 1937 Ending Year: 1992 Waste Generated: Onsite Waste Deposition Authorized
1 Mile of Site: Industrial	Beginning Year: 1937 Ending Year: 1992 Waste Generated: Onsite Waste Deposition Authorized
Other: MANUFACTURE OF ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT V I 6. Waste Characteristics Information	Onsite Waste Deposition Authorized
6. Waste Characteristics Information	
6. Waste Characteristics Information	
6. Waste Characteristics Information	Waste Accessible to the Public No
	Distance to Nearest Dwelling, School, or Workplace: 50 Feet
Non-drum containers 1.00e+05 gals V Metal Drums 1.00e+02 drums V Organ	nics ganics
Tier Legend C = Constituent W = Wastestream V = Volume A = Area	al State of Waste as Deposited

DOMENIATAT HAGADO	OTIG.	ID	ENTIFICAT	ION	
POTENTIAL HAZARDO WASTE SITE	JUS	State: NJ	CERCLIS NJD078	1	
PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FORM			CERCLIS Discovery Date: 12/28/87		
7. Ground Water Pathway					
Is Ground Water Used for Drinking Water Within 4 Miles: No Type of Ground Water Wells Within 4 Miles: Municipal Private	Is There a Suspected Release to Ground Water: Yes Have Primary Target Drinking Water Wells Been Identified: No	Population Ground Works From:		by	
Depth to Shallowest Aquifer: 5 Feet Karst Terrain/Aquifer	Nearest Designated Wellhead Protection	>1 - 2 >2 - 3	Miles Miles Miles	5000 6000 3500	
Present: No	Area: None within 4 Miles	Total	V.	14500	

Page:

IDENTIFICATION POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS CERCLIS Number: State: WASTE SITE NJ NJD078714433 PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FORM CERCLIS Discovery Date: 12/28/87 8. Surface Water Pathway Part 1 of 4 Type of Surface Water Draining Shortest Overland Distance From Any Site and 15 Miles Downstream: Source to Surface Water: Stream River 100 Feet Other: 0.0 Miles RESERVOIR Is there a Suspected Release to Site is Located in: >100 yr - 500 yr floodpla Surface Water: Yes 8. Surface Water Pathway Part 2 of 4 Drinking Water Intakes Along the Surface Water Migration Path: Yes Have Primary Target Drinking Water Intakes Been Identified: No Secondary Target Drinking Water Intakes: Name Water Body/Flow(cfs) Population Served HAWORTH large river/ >10000 750000 Total Within 15 Miles: 750000

Page: 6

PA-Score 1.0 Scoresheets BENDIX-TETERBORO FACILITY - 03/19/92

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS

WASTE SITE

PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FORM

IDENTIFICATION

State: ŊJ

CERCLIS Number: NJD078714433

CERCLIS Discovery Date:

12/28/87

8. Surface Water Pathway

Part 3 of 4

Fisheries Located Along the Surface Water Migration Path: Yes

Have Primary Target Fisheries Been Identified: No

Secondary Target Fisheries:

Fishery Name

Water Body Type/Flow(cfs)

HACKENSACK RIVER

large stream/river/ >1000-10000

ORADELL RESERVOIR

large river/ >10000

8. Surface Water Pathway

Part 4 of 4

Wetlands Located Along the Surface Water Migration Path? (y/n)

Have Primary Target Wetlands Been Identified? (y/n)

Secondary Target Wetlands: None

Other Sensitive Environments Along the Surface Water Migration Path: Yes

Have Primary Target Sensitive Environments Been Identified: No

Secondary Target Sensitive Environments:

Water Body/Flow(cfs)

Sensitive Environment Type

Water Body/Flow(cis)
large stream/river/ >1000-10000
large river/ >10000

Sensitive Environment 1/F
FRESHWATER WETLANDS
FRESHWATER WETLANDS

Page:

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS

WASTE SITE

PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FORM

IDENTIFICATION

State: NJ CERCLIS Number: NJD078714433

CERCLIS Discovery Date:

12/28/87

9. Soil Exposure Pathway

Are People Occupying Residences or Attending School or Daycare on or Within 200 Feet of Areas of Known or Suspected Contamination: No

Number of Workers Onsite: 101 - 1000

Have Terrestrial Sensitive Environments Been Identified on or Within 200 Feet of Areas of Known or Suspected Contamination: No

10. Air Pathway

	hin: Is There a Suspected Release to Air: Yes
Olisice	0
0 - 1/4 Mile 9	00 Wetlands Located
>1/4 - 1/2 Mile	27 Within 4 Miles of the Site: No
>1/2 - 1 Mile 10	00
>1 - 2 Miles 500	00
> 2 - 3 Miles 750	00 Other Sensitive Environments Located
>3 - 4 Miles 800	00 Within 4 Miles of the Site: No
Total 2069	27

Sensitive Environments Within 1/2 Mile of the Site: None

Page:]

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Waste Characteristics	(WC) Calculations:	• •	
1 ELECTRONICS	Non-drum containers	WQ value	maximum
Volume	1.00E+05 gals	2.00E+02	2.00E+02
2 ELECTRONICS	Drums	WQ value	maximum
Volume	1.00E+02 drums	1.00E+01	1.00E+01
3 ELECTRONICS	Non-drum containers	WQ value	maximum
Volume	5.00E+05 gals	1.00E+03	1.00E+03

WQ total 1.21E+03

Waste Characteristics Score: WC = 32

Ground Water Pathway Criteria List Suspected Release	
Are sources poorly contained? (y/n/u)	Ņ
Is the source a type likely to contribute to ground water contamination (e.g., wet lagoon)? (y/n/u)	N
Is waste quantity particularly large? (y/n/u)	$\gamma^{\mathbf{Y}}$
Is precipitation heavy? (y/n/u)	Y
Is the infiltration rate high? (y/n/u)	. N
Is the site located in an area of karst terrain? (y/n)	N
Is the subsurface highly permeable or conductive? (y/n/u)	N
Is drinking water drawn from a shallow aquifer? (y/n/u)	Y
Are suspected contaminants highly mobile in ground water? (y/n/u)	Y
Does analytical or circumstantial evidence suggest ground water contamination? (y/n/u)	Y
Other criteria? (y/n) N	
SUSPECTED RELEASE? (y/n)	.Y
Summarize the rationale for Suspected Release:	

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING HAS CONFIRMED THE PRESENCE OF CONTAMINANTS.

Ground Water Pathway C riteria L ist Primary Targets
Is any drinking water well nearby? (y/n/u) N
Has any nearby drinking water well been closed? (y/n/u) N
Has any nearby drinking water well user reported
foul-testing or foul-smelling water? (y/n/u) N
Does any nearby well have a large drawdown/high production rate? (y/n/u) N
Is any drinking water well located between the site and other wells that are suspected to be exposed to a hazardous substance? $(y/n/u)$ N
Does analytical or circumstantial evidence suggest contamination at a drinking water well? $(y/n/u)$ N
Does any drinking water well warrant sampling? (y/n/u) N
Other criteria? (y/n) N
PRIMARY TARGET(S) IDENTIFIED? (y/n) N
ummarize the rationale for Primary Targets:

Page:

GROUND WATER PATHWAY SCORESHEETS

Pathway Characteristics			Ref.
Do you suspect a release? (y/	n)	Ye	es
Is the site located in karst	terrain? (y/n)	No	o `
Depth to aquifer (feet):		5	
Distance to the nearest drink	ing water well	(feet): 5:	280
LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
1. SUSPECTED RELEASE	550		
2. NO SUSPECTED RELEASE		0	
LR =	550	0	
Targets			
TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References

TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
3. PRIMARY TARGET POPULATION 0 person(s)	. 0		
4. SECONDARY TARGET POPULATION Are any wells part of a blended system? (y/n) N	204	0	
5. NEAREST WELL	5	0	
6. WELLHEAD PROTECTION AREA None within 4 Miles	0	0	
7. RESOURCES	5	0	
T =	214	0	

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

WC =	32	0.
	1	

GROUND WATER PATHWAY SCORE:

46

Page:

Ground Water Target Populations

Primary Target Population Drinking Water Well ID	Dist. (miles)	Population Served	Reference	Value
None		4.1		
	. ,		,	
			Total	

Secondary Target Population Distance Categories	Population Served	Reference	Value
0 to 1/4 mile	0		0
Greater than 1/4 to 1/2 mile	0		. 0
Greater than 1/2 to 1 mile	0		0
Greater than 1 to 2 miles	5000		94
Greater than 2 to 3 miles	6000		68
Greater than 3 to 4 miles	3500		42
		Total	204

Apportionment Documentation for a Blended System

Surface Water Pathway Criteria List Suspected Release Is surface water nearby? (y/n/u) Y Is waste quantity particularly large? (y/n/u)Y Is the drainage area large? (y/n/u)Y Is rainfall heavy? (y/n/u) ·Y Is the infiltration rate low? (y/n/u)Y Are sources poorly contained or prone to runoff or flooding? (y/n/u) Ν Is a runoff route well defined(e.g.ditch/channel to surf.water)? (y/n/u) Y Is vegetation stressed along the probable runoff path? (y/n/u) N Are sediments or water unnaturally discolored? (y/n/u)Ν Is wildlife unnaturally absent? (y/n/u) Ν Has deposition of waste into surface water been observed? (y/n/u) Ν Is ground water discharge to surface water likely? (y/n/u) Does analytical/circumstantial evidence suggest S.W. contam? (y/n/u) Y Other criteria? (y/n) SUSPECTED RELEASE? (y/n) Y

Summarize the rationale for Suspected Release:

SAMPLES COLLECTED FROM A DRAINAGE DITCH RUNNING ADJACENT TO THE SITE INDICATE CONTAMINATION. THE DITCH IS USED BY A VARIETY OF INDUSTRY UPSTREAM OF THE FACILITY.

Surface Water Pathway Criteria List Primary Targets	
Is any target nearby? (y/n/u) If yes: N Drinking water intake N Fishery N Sensitive environment	N
Has any intake, fishery, or recreational area been closed? (y/n/u)	N
Does analytical or circumstantial evidence suggest surface water contamination at or downstream of a target? (y/n/u)	Y
Does any target warrant sampling? (y/n/u) If yes: N Drinking water intake N Fishery N Sensitive environment	N
Other criteria? (y/n) N	
PRIMARY INTAKE(S) IDENTIFIED? (y/n) Summarize the rationale for Primary Intakes: THERE IS ONE SURFACE WATER INTAKE 7 MILES DOWNSTREAM OF THE FACILITY	N

Page: 9

continued	4
Other criteria? (y/n) N	
PRIMARY FISHERY(IES) IDENTIFIED? (y/n)	N
Summarize the rationale for Primary Fisheries:	,
Other criteria? (y/n) N	
PRIMARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT(S) IDENTIFIED? (y/n) Summarize the rationale for Primary Sensitive Environments:	N

Page: 10

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY SCORESHEETS

Pathway Characteristics			Ref.		
Do you suspect a release? (y/n) Yes					
Distance to surface water (fee	et):	10	00		
Flood frequency (years):		50	00		
What is the downstream distance (miles) to: a. the nearest drinking water intake? 7.0 b. the nearest fishery? 2.0 c. the nearest sensitive environment? 2.0					
LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References		
1. SUSPECTED RELEASE	550				
2. NO SUSPECTED RELEASE		0			
LR =	550	0			

Drinking Water Threat Targets

TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
3. Determine the water body type, flow (if applicable), and number of people served by each drinking water intake.			
4. PRIMARY TARGET POPULATION 0 person(s)	0		
5. SECONDARY TARGET POPULATION Are any intakes part of a blended system? (y/n): N	5	0	
6. NEAREST INTAKE	. 0	0	
7. RESOURCES	. 5	0	
T =	10	0	

Drinking Water Threat Target Populations

Intake Name	Primary (y/n)	Water Body Type/Flow	Population Served	Ref.	Value
1 HAWORTH	N	>10000 cfs	750000		0
<u> </u>	·				
,	·				•
. ,					
Total Primary Target Population Value Total Secondary Target Population Value					0

Page: 12

	,

Page: 13

Human Food Chain Threat Targets

TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
8. Determine the water body type and flow for each fishery within the target limit.			
9. PRIMARY FISHERIES	0		
10. SECONDARY FISHERIES	210	0	
T =	210	0	

Human Food Chain Threat Targets

Fishery Name	Primary (y/n)	Water Body Type/Flow	Ref.	Value
1 HACKENSACK RIVER	N	>1000-10000 cfs		12
2 ORADELL RESERVOIR	N	>10000 cfs		12
,				
Total Primary Fisheries Value Total Secondary Fisheries Value				0 24

Page: 14

Environmental Threat Targets

TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
11. Determine the water body type and flow (if applicable) for each sensitive environment.			
12. PRIMARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	0	·	
13. SECONDARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONS.	10	0	
T =	. 10	0	

Environmental Threat Targets

Sensitive Environment Name	Primary (y/n)	Water Body Type/Flow	Ref.	Value
1 HACKENSACK RIVER	N	>1000-10000 cfs		12
2 ORADÈLL RESERVOIR	N	>10000 cfs		12
None		·		
•				
Total Primary Sensitive Environments Value Total Secondary Sensitive Environments Value				0

Page: 15

Surface Water Pathway Threat Scores

Threat	Likelihood of Release(LR) Score		Pathway Waste Characteristics (WC) Score	Threat Score LR x T x WC / 82,500
Drinking Water	550	10	32	2
Human Food Chain	550	210	32	45
Environmental	550	10	32	2

SURFACE WATER	D A D	CCOPE.	1
SURFACE WATER	PAINWAI	SCOKE:	49

Is anv re	Resident Population esidence, school, or daycare facility on or	7.
	200 feet of an area of suspected contamination? (y/n/u)	1
	esidence, school, or daycare facility located on adjacent reviously owned or leased by the site owner/operator? (y/n/u)	1
	a migration route that might spread hazardous near residences, schools, or daycare facilities? (y/n/u)	1
health	ite or adjacent residents or students reported adverse effects, exclusive of apparent drinking water or air ination problems? (y/n/u)	.]
Does any	neighboring property warrant sampling? (y/n/u)	
Other cri	iteria? (y/n) N	
	RESIDENT POPULATION IDENTIFIED? (y/n)]
ımmarize	the rationale for Resident Population:	
AN ADJA	CENT FACILITY IS UNDERGOING A RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY FROM PAST IAL ACTIVITIES NOT RELATED TO BENDIX.	
AN ADJA	CENT FACILITY IS UNDERGOING A RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY FROM PAST	
AN ADJA	CENT FACILITY IS UNDERGOING A RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY FROM PAST	
AN ADJA	CENT FACILITY IS UNDERGOING A RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY FROM PAST	
AN ADJA	CENT FACILITY IS UNDERGOING A RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY FROM PAST	
AN ADJA	CENT FACILITY IS UNDERGOING A RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY FROM PAST	
AN ADJA	CENT FACILITY IS UNDERGOING A RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY FROM PAST	

Page: 17

SOIL EXPOSURE PATI	HWAY SCORESHEE!	rs		
Pathway Characteristics				Ref.
Do any people live on or within of areas of suspected contamin			No	
Do any people attend school or of areas of suspected contamin		vithin 200 ft	No	
Is the facility active? (y/n):			Yes	
LIKELIHOOD OF EXPOSURE	Suspected Contamination	References	· .	
1. SUSPECTED CONTAMINATION LE =	550			
argets				
2. RESIDENT POPULATION 0 resident(s) 0 school/daycare student(s)	0			
3. RESIDENT INDIVIDUAL	0			
4. WORKERS 101 - 1000	10		r	
5. TERRES. SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	O		· .	
6. RESOURCES	5		· .	
Т =	15			
ACME OUADAOMEDICATOS				•
ASTE CHARACTERISTICS WC =	32			.3
ESIDENT POPULATION THREAT SCORE:	3			
-· · · ·				•
EARBY POPULATION THREAT SCORE:	1	;		
Population Within 1 Mile: 1 - 10,0	000	•		

SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORE:

Page: 18

Soil Exposure Pathway Terrestrial Sensitive Environments

Terrestrial Sensitive Environment Name	Referen c e	Value
None		
		,
Total Terrestrial Sensitive Environme	ents Value	

Aı		ay Crite cted Rel					·	
re odors currently report	ed? (y/:	n/u)			.,			
as release of a hazardous		nce to t been di		bserve	ed? (<u>}</u>	7/n/u	1)	
re there reports of adver nausea, dizziness) pote of hazard	entially	resulti	ng from	migrat	cion		1)	•
oes analytical/circumstar	ntial ev	idence s	uggest r	elease	e to a	ir?	(y/n/	u)
ther criteria? (y/n)	N							
mmarize the rationale for PRIOR TO 19 67 BENDI X B URN PITS WHICH MAY HAVE RESUI	ED WOOD	, GREASE	AND MAG			PS IN	N OPEN	ī
PRIOR TO 1967 BENDIX BURN	ED WOOD	, GREASE	AND MAG			PS IN	N OPEN	ſ
PRIOR TO 19 67 B ENDI X B URN PITS WHICH MAY HAVE RESUI	ED WOOD	, GREASE	AND MAG			PS IN	N OPEN	ſ
PRIOR TO 19 67 B ENDI X B URN PITS WHICH MAY HAVE RESUI	ED WOOD	, GREASE	AND MAG			PS IN	OPEN	ī
PRIOR TO 19 67 B ENDI X B URN PITS WHICH MAY HAVE RESUI	ED WOOD	, GREASE	AND MAG			PS IN	N OPEN	ſ
PRIOR TO 19 67 B ENDI X B URN PITS WHICH MAY HAVE RESUI	ED WOOD	, GREASE	AND MAG			os in	N OPEN	
PRIOR TO 19 67 B ENDI X B URN PITS WHICH MAY HAVE RESUI	ED WOOD	, GREASE	AND MAG			PS IN	1 OPEN	
PRIOR TO 19 67 B ENDI X B URN PITS WHICH MAY HAVE RESUI	ED WOOD	, GREASE	AND MAG			PS IN	N OPEN	
PRIOR TO 19 67 B ENDI X B URN PITS WHICH MAY HAVE RESUI	ED WOOD	, GREASE	AND MAG			PS IN	N OPEN	
PRIOR TO 19 67 B ENDI X B URN PITS WHICH MAY HAVE RESUI	ED WOOD	, GREASE	AND MAG			PS IN	N OPEN	

Page: 20

AIR PATHWA	AY SCORESHEETS		<u></u>
Pathway Characteristics			Ref.
Do you suspect a release? (y/n))	Ye	es
Distance to the nearest individ	dual (feet):	52	280
LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
1. SUSPECTED RELEASE	550		
2. NO SUSPECTED RELEASE		0	
LR =	550	0	
Targets			
TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
3. PRIMARY TARGET POPULATION 900 person(s)	9000		
4. SECONDARY TARGET POPULATION	47	0	
5. NEAREST INDIVIDUAL	50	0	
6. PRIMARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONS.	0		
7. SECONDARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONS.	• 0	0	
8. RESOURCES	5	0	
T =	9102	0	

WC . =

AIR PATHWAY SCORE:

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

100

32

Air Pathway Secondary Target Populations

Distance Categories	Population	References	Value
Onsite	N.A.)	0
Greater than 0 to 1/4 mile	N.A.		. 0
Greater than 1/4 to 1/2 mile	27		0
Greater than 1/2 to 1 mile	1000		1
Greater than 1 to 2 miles	50000		27
Greater than 2 to 3 miles	75000		. 12
Greater than 3 to 4 miles	80000		. 7
	Total Secondary Popula	ation Value	47

Page: 22

arr Pathway Primary Sensitive Environments			
Sensitive Environment Name		Reference	Value
None			·
		,	
	•		
Total Primary Sensitive	Environmer	nts Value	
ir Pathway Secondary Sensitive Environments			
Sensitive Environment Name	Distance	Reference	Value
None			
		·	

Total Secondary Sensitive Environments Value

Page: 23

SITE SCORE CALCULATION SCORE GROUND WATER PATHWAY SCORE: 46 SURFACE WATER PATHWAY SCORE: 49 SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORE: AIR PATHWAY SCORE: 100 SITE SCORE: 60

PA-Score 1.0 Scoresheets

SUMMARY

1.	Is there a high possibility of a threat to any nearby drinking water well(s) by migration of a hazardous substance in ground water?	r No
	If yes, identify the well(s).	
	If yes, how many people are served by the threatened well(s)? 0	
2.	Is there a high possibility of a threat to any of the following by hazardous substance migration in surface water?	
	A. Drinking water intakeB. FisheryC. Sensitive environment (wetland, critical habitat, others)	No No No
	If yes, identity the target(s).	. •
		٠
3.	Is there a high possibility of an area of surficial contamination within 200 feet of any residence, school, or daycare facility?	Мo
	If yes, identify the properties and estimate the associated population	ion(s
4.	Are there public health concerns at this site that are not addressed by PA scoring considerations?	No ·
	If yes, explain:	•